IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2622 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt.Ltd. ... Petitioner.

vs.

Vanita K. Patil & ors ... Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2623 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt. Ltd. .. Petitioner

vs.

Vasant P. Haldankar & anr. .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2734 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt.Ltd. .. Petitioner

vs.

Smt. Pratibha P.Chavan & ane. .. Respondnts

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.2735 OF2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt. Ltd. .. Petitioner

vs.

Babaji Amrut Mayekar & anr. .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2736 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt. Ltd. ..Petitioner

vs.

Vithoba D. Gurule & anr. ..Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2737 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt.Ltd. ..Petitioner

vs.

Pandurang J. Ghadewale & anr. ..Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2738 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt. Ltd. ..Petitioner

vs.

Bedeshi M. Konojia & anr. ..Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITIION NO. 2739 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt. Ltd. ..Petitioner
vs.
Tukaram B. Rewale & anr. ..Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2765 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt. Ltd. ..Petitioner
vs.
Jameel K. Patel & anr. ..Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 2766 OF 2005.

M/s Moltons Pvt. Ltd. ..Petitioner
vs.
Nirmala R. Tumma & anr. ..Respondents.

Shri V.P.Vaidya for the petitioners.
Shri N.M. Ganguli for the respondents
(in all matters)

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 16.1.2006.

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners in all these matters.

. In all these cases, considering meagre amount of compensation involved, I do not think this is a fit case in which writ Court should entertain these petitions. Even otherwise, the view taken is a reasonable and possible view. The petitioners could not discharge their onus by producing their record so as to dislodge the claim of the workers.

In this view of the matter, all these petitions are dismissed in limine with no orde as to coss.

(V.C.DAGA,J.)